

in a single prior art reference." *Verdegaal Bros. V. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). "The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim." *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

The remaining claims are directed to methods, program code and apparatus which prevent local reproduction of content displayed in the window or a browser or other viewer program. Dykes does not disclose or address the such a concept. In setting for the prior rejections (Paper No. 13), the Examiner has failed to indicate where Dykes discloses the limitation of "disabling a disallowed user function when the content is within the browser window " (claim 24, lines 4-5). Such limitation is disclosed in great detail in the subject specification (page 18, line 16 - page 20, line 9). Dykes discloses only the use of a general purpose browser (Dykes, column 4, lines 47-57) in a technique for authenticating user access to *remote* application programs. Dykes does not disclose placing restrictions on content once it is transferred to a users web browser, as now recited by claim 24. Accordingly, Applicant's respectfully assert that claim 24 and its subsequent dependent claims are not anticipated by Dykes.


In addition to the same limitation of claim 24 noted above, claim 62 further recites " wherein the disallowed user function comprises a user function which, when allowed, provides for non-ephemeral reproduction of the content" (claim 62, lines 6-7). Ephemeral is defined in the subject specification (page 7, line 15 - 17). As stated previously, Dykes discloses only the use of a general purpose browser with no mention of limiting the functions which a user may perform on content displayed in a browser window. Specifically, Dykes does not disclose disabling a web users ability to reproduce content presented in a browser window, as recited in claim 62. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully assert that claim 62 and its subsequent dependent claims are not anticipated by Dykes.

Claim 78 recites limitations similar to claims 26 and 62 specifically "means for displaying the protected content on the client computer while preventing at least one form of reproduction of the content" (claim 78, lines 4-5). Dykes does not disclose means for displaying the protected content on the client computer while preventing at least one form of reproduction of the content. For at least the same reasons as set forth

above with respect to claims 24 and 62, Applicants respectfully assert that claim 78 and its subsequent dependent claims are likewise not anticipated by Dykes.

Applicants believe the claims are in allowable condition. A notice of allowance for this application is solicited earnestly. If the Examiner has any further questions regarding this amendment, he/she is invited to call Applicant's attorney at the number listed below. The Examiner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any balances under 37 CFR §1.17, and 1.16 to Deposit Account No. 02-3038.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 10/19/01

Bruce D. Jobse, Esq., Reg. No. 33,518  
KUDIRKA & JOBSE, LLP  
Customer Number 021127  
Tel: (617) 367-4600 Fax: (617) 367-4656

**Claim Versions With Markings to Show Changes Made**

24. A method for controlling access to information presented by a web browser comprising:

presenting content within a browser window of the web browser[, wherein the web browser is capable of protecting content in multiple formats]; and

disabling a disallowed user function when the content is within the browser window.

62. A computer readable medium having stored thereon computer executable instructions to cause a client digital processing system to perform a method comprising:

receiving protected content from a server digital processing system;

presenting the protected content within a browser window [that is capable of protecting content in multiple formats]; and

disabling disallowed user functions when the protected content is in the browser window, wherein the disallowed user function comprises a user function which, when allowed, provides for non-ephemeral reproduction of the content.

78. A system for controlling reproduction of content on a client computer system comprising:

means for receiving content to be protected; and

means for displaying the protected content on the client computer while preventing at least one form of reproduction of the content[, wherein the means for displaying is capable of protecting content in multiple formats].